# Broadband Packet Switching \& Routing 

Section 4
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## Broadband Switching and Routing

- Frame and Cell header
details

| Flow Control | Virtual Path Ident. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Virtual Path Ident. | Virtual Circuit Ident. |  |
| Virtual Circuit Identifier |  |  |
| Virtual Circuit Ident. | Payload Type | CLP |
| Error Control (CRC-8) |  |  |
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## Connection-oriented PDUs

$\nabla$ PDU addressing is the key to statistical (packet) multiplexing and switching
$\nabla$ Both ATM and Frame Relay PDUs are inherently connection
 oriented.
$\nabla$ The connection identifies a logical circuit number


## ATM Cell Structure

$\nabla 53$ Octet cell with 5 octet header and 48 octet payload
$\nabla$ All of payload may or may not be available for actual data; depends on "AAL"

- Physical layer delimiter
- Error control for header only



## ATM Cell Header @ UNI

- Addressing sufficient for over 16 million virtual circuits @ UNI; over 268 million @ NNI
$\nabla$ VPIVCI split for ease of switching
$\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ "Payload type" identifies userlctl cell, continuation of AAL PDU, \& congestion.

| Flow Control | Virtual Path Ident. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Virtual Path Ident. | Virtual Circuit Ident. |  |
| Virtual Circuit Identifier |  |  |
| Virtual Circuit Ident. | Type | CLP |
| Error Control (CRC-8) |  |  |

## ATM Cell Header @ UNI

- "Flow control" for multiple access on UNI facilities; NOT for congestion management $\quad$ CLP (Cell Loss Priority) is for congestion management and priority



## ATM Cell Header @ NNI

$\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ Addressing sufficient for about 268 million circuits at NNI

- No "flow control" at this level
$\nabla$ Congestion indication bit in Payload Type.

| Virtual Path Ident. (VPI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Virtual Path Ident. |  |  |  | Virtual Circuit Ident. |  |
|  | Virtual Circuit Identifier |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Virtual Circuit Ident. | Payload Type |  |  |  |  |
|  | Error Control (CRC-8) |  |  |  |  |  |

## Frame Relay Header

## - Similar to ATM

VHas default address space for 1024 virtual circuits per physical link

$\square$ DLCI $\quad$ C/R (for DTE)

- Extended Address
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## Frame Relay Format

## $\boldsymbol{V}$ C/R bit passed through <br> VExtended address allows for multiple octets of additional addresses on a DLCI-by DLCI basis <br>  <br> $\square$ DLCI $\quad$ C/R (for DTE)

Extended Address
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## Frame Relay Format

$\nabla$ CRC provides protection for header; available hardware convenience
V Extended address allows for multiple octets of additional addressing
$\nabla$ Possible multiprotocol interconnect information


## Broadband Switching and Routing

## FFrame and Cell header details <br> TWide area routing example and issues



## Virtual Circuit Addressing

$\nabla$ Circuit numbers are meaningful on local (single interface) only
$\nabla$ Switches may translate circuit numbers
$\nabla$ Switches are responsible for finding paths through the network, rerouting, etc.
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## Network Routing Example

1. Operator defines endpoints for virtual circuits


## Network Routing Example

2. DLCIs (or VPIVCI) assigned on external links.


## Network Routing Example

3. Routing table at network node "A"


## Network Routing Example

- Routing Table at node "B"



## Routing Table Issues

$\nabla$ Algorithmic vs. manual generation
v Most networks "selfconfigure"
v External vs. network routing
v "Neighbor Node" vs. global routing
v "Source Routing"


## Routing Table Issues

$\checkmark$ Algorithmic vs. manual generation
$\nabla$ When to reroute

- Link / equipment failure
- Network congestion (circuit vs. packet)
- Reoptimization
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## Routing Table Issues

$\checkmark$ Algorithmic vs. manual generation
$\checkmark$ When to reroute
$\nabla$ PVC vs. SVC

- PVC changes \& generates tables at network operator request
- SVC changes \& generates tables at user request



## Routing Table Issues

$\checkmark$ Algorithmic vs. manual generation
$\checkmark$ When to reroute
$\checkmark$ PVC vs. SVC
$\nabla$ Connection /
Connectionless

- Semi-permanent vs. dynamic routing tables
v LAN vs. WAN issues
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## Wide-Area Routing Issues

- Multicast
- Muticast vs. Broadcast
- Groups may be defined
- Administration in large networks
- Global Addressing
- Usefull in small networks
- Initial option for frame relay
"Look like" connectionless



## Broadband Switching and Routing

F Frame and Cell header details
$\nabla$ Wide area routing example and issues
F Frames versus cells: Summary


## Banyan Switching

$\nabla$ Often associated with ATM

- Switch -- not network -architecture

- "Non-blocking" so long as paths are different
$\nabla$ Doesn't necessarily imply
"cut-through" routing
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## Two Examples



## Frame vs. Cell Switching

- Same basic function for switching frames and cells
- Cell switching has lower delay per node

Switches generally must accept a full PDU, process/switch the PDU, and retransmit the the PDU
Delay is proportional to the "PDU Time"
PDU Time = PDU length $/$ Facility speed


## Freeze-out Time at Various Speeds



## Freeze-out Time at Various Speeds



## Frame vs. Cell Switching

- Overhead
- Cell overhead is fixed
- Frame overhead is variable
- Frames have ability to vary maximum frame size to balance delay versus overhead
- Cell size must be chosen carefully
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## Overhead per Cell Size



## Overhead per Cell Size



## Cell Size Trade-offis

| Short cells | Long cells |
| :--- | :--- |
| Short "fill times" | Good for <br> overhead |
| Small "last cells" |  |
| Short cell <br> freeze-out |  |



## Frame vs. Cell Issues

- Should one check the PDU integrity at intermediate Nodes?
- Easy to do with frame switch; more difficult (for entire PDU) with a cell switch
Factor: Link reliability
Should one check for frame-level discard eligibility at intermediate nodes?
- Factor: Ability to discard ALL of a PDU

Fantor: "Edge" control of network

## Frame vs. Cell Issues

How important is the PDU delay?

- Is there "real time" traffic?
- What is the maximum PDU length?
- What is the minimum facility speed?
- How many intermediate nodes? (Delay is per node)
- Price of technology, targeted speeds \& applications, and network "religion"

|  | Frame Switch | Cell Switch |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Simple | More complex |
|  | More complex | Simpler |
| Switching concept | Higher | Lower |
| Technology | No | Yes |
| Inherent delay | High | Lower |
| Segmentation | Usually lower | Usually higher |
| Bandwidth efficiency | No | Yes |
| Overhead | Not explored | Good |
| Predictable delay | Possible | Difficult |
| Voice capabilities | Simpler | More difficult |
| Intermed. discard | Software | Hardware |
| Congestion ctl. | PVC/SCV | PVC/SvC |
| Primary technology |  |  |
| Connections |  |  |
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